Course Evaluations Spring 2015_4 Spring2015

Mount Saint Mary's University Los Angeles, CA

Course:	PSY_134 1 - Learning and Memory	Department:	PSY
Responsible Faculty:	Franco Santos	Responses / Expected:	28 / 33 (84.85%)

PSY_134 - 1										
Responses Course										
s	J	s	U	G	N	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
4	21	3	0	0	0	28	3.0	3	3	.50
		S J	s J s	s J s U	S J S U G	S J S U G N	S J S U G N N	S J S U G N N Mean	S J S U G N N Mean Med.	S J S U G N N Mean Med. Mode

PSY_134 - 1																	
Stu	dent Information		R	esp	ons	es				Course							
		М	GS	E	М	o	С	N	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q2	Requirement this course fulfills:	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	1.0	1	1	0				
Responses: [M] Major=1 [GS] General Studies=2 [E] Elective=3 [M] Minor=4 [O] Other=5 [C] Combination=6																	

Responses: [**M**] Major=1 [**GS**] General Studies=2 [**E**] Elective=3 [**M**] Minor=4 [**O**] Other=5 [**C**] Combination=6 [**N**] None=7

		PSY_134 - 1													
Student Information			Re	spc	nse	es			Course						
	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	С	NC	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q3 Expected grade in this course:	15	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	27	1.5	1	1	.57		
Responses: [A] A=1 [B] B=2 [C] C=3 [D] D=4 [E] E=5 [F] F=6 [C] Credit=7 [NC] No Credit=8															

								PS	SY_	134 - 1				
Cou	urse Evaluation		Re	esp	or	ıse	s		Course					
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q4	The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus.	23	5	0	0	0	0	0	28	6.8	7	7	.38	
Q5	The course was intellectually challenging.	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	27	6.9	7	7	.31	
Q6	The assignments, tests or other methods of evaluation were relevant to the course objectives.	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	27	6.9	7	7	.31	
Q7	Given the course objectives, the texts and course materials were appropriate and well chosen.	23	3	1	1	0	0	0	28	6.7	7	7	.70	
Q8	The course contributed to my learning experience at MSMC.	24	4	0	0	0	0	0	28	6.9	7	7	.35	
	Responses: [7] 7(High)=7 [6] 6=6 [5] 5	=5	5 [4	4]	4=	4 [3]	3=	3 [2] 2	=2 [1]] 1(Lov	v)=1	

FOR ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY	P:	SY_134 - 1
	Responses	Course
	_	

		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q9	The online/hybrid course materials were well-organized and easy to navigate.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7.0	7	7	0
Q10	The online/hybrid course provided adequate and meaningful opportunities for student and faculty interaction.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7.0	7	7	0
Q11	I received adequate technical support for the online/hybrid course.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7.0	7	7	0
Q12	The technology supported the course learning objectives.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7.0	7	7	0

					PS	Υ_	134 - 1						
FOR	ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY		Resp	onse	S			Cours	ourse				
		NO	YBI	YBI	MST	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q13	Do you plan to take another online/hybrid course?	3	0	1	0	4	1.3	1	1	.43			

Responses: [NO] No=1 [YBI] Yes, because I have to for my program=2 [YBI] Yes, because I like them=2 [MST] Maybe, still thinking=1

	PSY_134 - 1											
FOR ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY		R	espo	nses				Cours	ourse Med. Mode			
	0	1-2	3-4	5-6	70M	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q14 How many other online/hybrid classes have you taken?	4	0	0	0	0	4	1.0	1	1	0		
Responses: [0] 0=1 [1-2]	1-2	!=2 [3-4]	3-4=3	3 [5-6]] 5-	6=4 [7	OM] 7	or mo	re=5		

							5	Sar	ntos	, Franc	co					
Insti	ructor Evaluation		Re	esp	oor	ารย	es			Individual						
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q15	The instructor was well prepared for class.	25	3	0	0	0	0	0	28	6.9	7	7	.31			
Q16	The instructor effectively communicated course objectives.	23	4	0	0	0	0	0	27	6.9	7	7	.36			
Q17	The instructor generated interest in the subject matter.	26	2	0	0	0	0	0	28	6.9	7	7	.26			
Q18	The instructor used a variety of instructional activities.	19	6	1	1	0	0	0	27	6.6	7	7	.73			
Q19	The instructor was open to questions and encouraged active participation.	25	1	1	1	0	0	0	28	6.8	7	7	.67			
Q20	The instructor encouraged independent, critical thinking.	24	4	0	0	0	0	0	28	6.9	7	7	.35			
Q21	The instructor was available for help with the course.	25	2	1	0	0	0	0	28	6.9	7	7	.44			
Q22	The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject matter.	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	26	6.9	7	7	.27			
Q23	The instructor expected and encouraged academic honesty.	24	4	0	0	0	0	0	28	6.9	7	7	.35			
Q24	The instructor established an environment of fairness in the classroom.	25	3	0	0	0	0	0	28	6.9	7	7	.31			

Question: What are the opportunities and challenges you face in an online/hybrid environment?

2 of 5

Response Rate: 3.57% (1 of 28)

1 none

Faculty:	Santos, Franco
Question:	Please comment on the overall quality of this course and teaching effectiveness of the instructor.
	92.86% (26 of 28)

Boy does he challenge you! he is one of the most challenging professors but he is also the funniest. When he made the tetris joke the first day of class, I knew that I was in the right class! I learned so much in his class, I would love to take his class again....well a different subject of course! Professor Santos is an amazing professor. His class are challenging, but he really teaches you what you need to 2 know. The course was challenging but worth taking because I learned important concepts I will apply in the future. The professor was entertaining so the class was not boring and he was open to answering questions or meeting after 3 class for discussions on the class concepts. He believed in all of our capabilities. The professor was overall very interested and informed when it came to the learning and memory concepts. Well use of examples. 4 The overall quality of the course was great, I enjoyed the class. I loved this class. Professor Santos made this class so much more interesting which was great. But also I loved 5 how whenever someone had a question he would always answer them. Professor Santos makes learning fun by providing real life examples that help you understand the material that he 6 is teaching. The course is not a favorable subject but the professor made the class interesting that made one willing want to 7 go to class. additionally, the professor made the class interesting in the way that he taught the subject not making the class boring. This was by far oneof my favorite classes I've taken at MSMU. Santos is very knowledgeable and shows a genuine 8 passion for his field. His passion for psychology is really motivating. The material was intellectually challenging and worth knowing about, and it was absolutely relevant to the course. The quality of the course was great. Professor Santos is probably one of the best professors i have had, he both 10 pushes you to be better but at the same time supports you. 11 By far one of the best courses I have taken at the Mount, Professor Santos is Great. I enjoyed this class and would definitely take another course with thesame professor in the year that I have left. I 12 always learn so much with this professor. This class was great. The professor really made the class entertaining. The professor was great because he 13 slowed down when we asked him to and he would always provide examples when we needed them. This course was by far the hardest subject I have taken, but because of the professor's enthusiasm and ability to teach, by the midterm, I understood everything and feel really prepared not only for the final but for my future career. The course had a lot of information but lectures were evenly divided up to be able to teach all the subjects on Learning and Memory. This course was challenging but able to understand and learn from it. This course benefits me in my future career so was glad to be able to take this course and gain more knowledge. Professor Santos is a funny professor and tries to crack up the class to loosen up the tension in learning. He is fair with his quizzes and exams. I love that the quizzes are online. The exams are straight forward and come directly

The course was challenging, but the material was easy to remember as the instructor provided multiple examples and made sure you grasped the concepts.

from the study guide he gives you. He does his best to answer students questions and goes over certain topics

that were confusing on previous quizzes.

18	This course was very challenging and interesting. It is evident that professor Santos is very knowledgeable and passionate in the topic of Learning and Memory. Professor Santos made this course much more interesting with his humor and genuine interest in helping enhance the educational experience of his students. Professor Santos is one of the most interesting and personable professors I have had the pleasure of learning from at the Mount. His courses are usually more difficult than others. Although his courses are usually more difficult, I like to take his courses because I walk out of his class having learned something. He is an awesome, invested, and knowledgeable professor. :)
19	I like the set up of the class; it is straightforward but also challenging. I think it is effective to have online quizzes, because they are helpful studying tools for our exams. The professor also showed great knowledge for the subject and made each lesson very interesting.
20	I really enjoyed taking this class due to the fact that it challenged me intellectually. It was very difficult material to learn and comprehend but the teaching methods of the professor gave me the ability to create connections which made it less difficult for me to understand.
21	I really did enjoyed this class. I learn better when there is humor into the lecture and it was never boring for me.
22	Great class the professor really does try and you can tell he loves teaching and loves his students.
23	Overall class was very challenging and work load was sufficient enough to learn new material and to be tested on it.
24	Professor Santos is one of my favorite professors at Mount. His class is not easy, but he makes his lectures fun and enjoyable where a student can learn the material and understand it better.
25	This course, hands down, is one of the most useful classes I have taken this far. It applies so much to the real world and how we function as human beings and the professor executes the information in several ways for students to understand the knowledge efficiently.
26	The course was interesting and engaging. I enjoyed taking this course and felt that it enhanced my learning experience at the Mount. The instructor was encouraging and showed interest as well as humor in the subject matter. He made the topics interesting and presented the material with a variety of mediums.

Faculty:	Santos, Franco
Question:	Please give suggestions, if any, for improving this course or the teaching effectiveness of this instructor.
Response Rate:	64.29 % (18 of 28)

1	none
2	There were too many slides and difficult to take down notes.
3	The only suggestion that I would make is to make the lecture slides available before the chapter is discussed in class, because it was hard to take notes.
4	Slow down little when teaching ESPECIALLY when talking about the brain.
5	Keep teaching the way your teaching Santos! You're an awesome professor.
6	I wouldn't change anything about this course. Although his quizzes are notoriously difficult, Santos is more than willing to spend time reviewing with anyone that needs extra help on his own time.
7	I have no further suggestions at this time besides keeping a tighter reign and providing a few more reminders when projects/papers are due.
8	none
9	This class was great. I'm happy I took it.
10	I do not really have any suggestions for the course. It was engaging, fun, informational, but challenging.
11	Nothing everything was great.
12	N/A
13	One suggestion can be giving more activities to the students in order for them to better comprehend the material.

4 of 5

_		
1	14	I wish we had a template on the group paper because i never wrote something that before and felt really lost.
1	15	more group work, like group quizzes
1	16	Use various activities to teach lessons than just lecturing from power points and 2-3 videos all semester.
1	17	slow down, man!! Haha
1	18	Possibly more extra credit opportunities outside the class.

Course Evaluations Spring 2015_4	Mount Saint Mary's University
Spring2015	Los Angeles, CA

Course:	PSY_134 2 - Learning and Memory	Department:	PSY
Responsible Faculty:	Franco Santos	Responses / Expected:	30 / 33 (90.91%)

	PSY_134 - 2																
Student Information		Responses							Course								
		s	J	s	U	G	N	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev					
Q1 Your class level:	0	1	22	7	0	0	0	30	3.2	3	3	.48					

Responses: [F] Freshman=1 [S] Sophomore=2 [J] Junior=3 [S] Senior=4 [U] Unclassified=5 [G] Graduate=6 [N] None=7

	PSY_134 - 2														
Student Information	Responses								Course						
	М	GS	Ε	М	o	С	N	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q2 Requirement this course fulfills:	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	30	1.1	1	1	.54			

 $\textbf{Responses:} \ [\textbf{M}] \ \text{Major} = 1 \ [\textbf{GS}] \ \text{General Studies} = 2 \ [\textbf{E}] \ \text{Elective} = 3 \ [\textbf{M}] \ \text{Minor} = 4 \ [\textbf{O}] \ \text{Other} = 5 \ [\textbf{C}] \ \text{Combination} = 6 \ \text{Combination} =$ [**N**] None=7

								PSY	_134	- 2					
Student Information	Responses								Course						
	Α	В	C D E F C N		NC	N Mean		Med.	Mode	Std Dev					
Q3 Expected grade in this course:	15	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	30	1.6	1.5	1	.66		
Responses: [A] A=1 [B]	B=2	[C] C	=3	[D]	D=	4 [I	E] E	=5 [F] F=6	[C] Cred	lit=7 [N	C] No Cr	edit=8		

							PSY_134 - 2													
Course Evaluation						nse	s		Course											
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev							
Q4	The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus.	25	5	0	0	0	0	0	30	6.8	7	7	.37							
Q5	The course was intellectually challenging.	26	2	1	1	0	0	0	30	6.8	7	7	.67							
Q6	The assignments, tests or other methods of evaluation were relevant to the course objectives.	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	30	6.9	7	7	.34							
Q7	Given the course objectives, the texts and course materials were appropriate and well chosen.	24	5	1	0	0	0	0	30	6.8	7	7	.50							
Q8	The course contributed to my learning experience at MSMC.	25	4	1	0	0	0	0	30	6.8	7	7	.48							
	Responses: [7] 7(High)=7 [6] 6=6 [5] 5	5=5	5 [4	4]	4=	4 [3]	3=	3 [2] 2	=2 [1]	1(Lov	v)=1							

FOR ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY	P	SY_134 - 2
	Responses	Course

		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q9	The online/hybrid course materials were well-organized and easy to navigate.	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7.0	7	7	0
Q10	The online/hybrid course provided adequate and meaningful opportunities for student and faculty interaction.	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7.0	7	7	0
Q11	I received adequate technical support for the online/hybrid course.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7.0	7	7	0
Q12	The technology supported the course learning objectives.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7.0	7	7	0
	Responses: [7] 7(High)=7 [6] 6=6 [5]	5=	=5	[4] 4		 [;	3]	3=	3 [2] 2	=2 [1]	1(Lov	v) = 1

	PSY_134 - 2												
FOR ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY		Resp	onse	s	Course								
		YBI	YBI	MST	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q13 Do you plan to take another online/hybrid course?	6	1	1	1	9	1.2	1	1	.42				

Responses: [NO] No=1 [YBI] Yes, because I have to for my program=2 [YBI] Yes, because I like them=2 [MST] Maybe, still thinking=1

						PSY	_1	34 - 2				
FOR	ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY		R	espo	nses		Course					
		o	1-2	3-4	5-6	70M	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev	
Q14	How many other online/hybrid classes have you taken?	6	0	1	1	0	8	1.6	1	1	1.11	
	Responses: [0] 0=1 [1-2]	1-2	2=2 [3-4]	3-4=	3 [5-6] 5	-6=4 [7	7OM] :	7 or mo	re=5	

							5	Sar	ntos	, Franc	ю				
Instr	Instructor Evaluation		Re	esp	100	ารє	es		Individual						
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q15	The instructor was well prepared for class.	27	3	0	0	0	0	0	30	6.9	7	7	.30		
Q16	The instructor effectively communicated course objectives.	27	3	0	0	0	0	0	30	6.9	7	7	.30		
Q17	The instructor generated interest in the subject matter.	29	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	7.0	7	7	.18		
Q18	The instructor used a variety of instructional activities.	17	7	3	3	0	0	0	30	6.3	7	7	1		
Q19	The instructor was open to questions and encouraged active participation.	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	7.0	7	7	0		
Q20	The instructor encouraged independent, critical thinking.	27	2	1	0	0	0	0	30	6.9	7	7	.43		
Q21	The instructor was available for help with the course.	27	2	0	0	0	0	0	29	6.9	7	7	.25		
Q22	The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject matter.	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	7.0	7	7	0		
Q23	The instructor expected and encouraged academic honesty.	27	3	0	0	0	0	0	30	6.9	7	7	.30		
Q24	The instructor established an environment of fairness in the classroom.	27	2	1	0	0	0	0	30	6.9	7	7	.43		
	Responses: [7] 7(High)=7 [6] 6=6 [[5] 5	i = 5	5 [4	4]	4=	4	[3]	3=	3 [2] 2	=2 [1]] 1(Lov	v)=1		

Question: What are the opportunities and challenges you face in an online/hybrid environment?

Response Rate: 13.33% (4 of 30)

- 1 N/A
- 2 Remembering when the quizzes end
- 3 not applicable
- 4 not being to ask questions about what is unclear to me

Faculty:	Santos, Franco	
Question:	Please comment on the overall quality of this course and teaching effectiveness of the instructor.	
Response Rate:	73.33 % (22 of 30)	

- Overall this course was great because I learned a lot of the material the professor did explain the material well, and when we had questions he made sure we understood by explaining the material differently.
- Overall, I enjoyed this class. I never dreaded in going. The way he taught the course was in powerpoint which is better for me, personally. I enjoy powerpoints because when I read I have the powerpoint as a guide.
- 3 Professor Santos is very knowledgeable of the class and he made the class very engaging and fun!
- 4 Professor Franco is aware the course is challenging but will makes his best for the students to understand
- Professor Santos was very helpful and fair. His class was very interesting and challenged me. I really enjoyed his class.
- I thoroughly enjoyed this course! I think that the combination of videos, power-points and discussion with the class work very well.
- I really enjoy having Professor Santos as a professor. He is very smart and has so much knowledge about the course and subjects. I seriously leave his class understanding and applying the material I learn in my everyday life. The examples given by him make the info stick so much better then just a plain definition.
- **8** Good, Stated everything up front. Sometimes goes a little to fast.
- **9** Really interesting course. One of my favorite professors.
- 10 Love his way of teaching! Really cares about his students progress and grades
- I felt that Professor Santos was a very personable and effective instructor. I enjoyed the lectures and felt that the exams were a direct assessment of the material covered in class. Although the course encompassed difficult material, I feel that the support from the professor allowed me to succeed in this course.
- 12 He is a funny teacher that engages with the class as a whole. He knows how to teach.
- He is a good professor and comments, but sometimes those comments should be said privately to students instead of in front of the whole class.
- The overall quality of this course and the teaching effectiveness of the instructor was great. I liked doing the research paper and presenation, it was interesting. I learned alot in this class, especially operant and classical conditioning.
- The professor's comments are sometimes inappropriate or offensive. For example, a group was doing their research experiment in class and the professor commented on how bad the experiment was. If I was in the group's place I would have felt really insulted, even if he was joking. His comments kinda started a class discussion about the research experiment and I thought it was inappropriate on everyone's part. Sometimes his comments or jokes go a little too far or personal.
- The class was very interesting and Santos made the class very enjoyable with his input. The study guides definitely help for the exams! Thank you!
- 17 The course was great overall, very challenging
- 18 Really great overall quality. I learned a lot and Professor Santos' examples were relevant and funny.

19	The quality of this course was quite challenging. Professor Santos is pretty straightforward and makes his lectures easier to understand.
20	I really enjoyed Profess Santos. He broke down the chapters and made sure we understand it.
21	I feel like this class was very challenging, however I did learn a lot of information about learning and memory.
22	helpful, challenging

	Santos, Franco
Question:	Please give suggestions, if any, for improving this course or the teaching effectiveness of this instructor.
Response Rate:	46.67% (14 of 30)

1	I have no suggestions, his way of teaching is very effective.
2	No suggestions. I like his teaching style as is. I do hope he continues opportunities for extra credit.
3	I like how this course is structured, and I wish to continue having him as a professor in my other classes.
4	Nothing everything is good.
5	I think that the application to real life scenarios are very helpful! Keep doing that!
6	Should not require the book, it is a waste of money considering that he goes over every chapter thoroughly with his Power Points.
7	Have in class activities.
8	I recommend that their won't be a group research paper for the following classes.
9	More practice with classical and operant conditionoing
10	Establishing an environment of fairness in the classroom with all students.
11	If there were some assignments, it would have boosted my grade a little more. However, it was a very informative and interesting class.
12	everything was good
13	I do not think such a big portion of the grade should come from the group paper. Group work, especially in college can be really challenging.
14	n/a

4 of 4

Course Evaluations Spring 2015_4 Spring2015

Mount Saint Mary's University Los Angeles, CA

Course:	PSY_167 1 - S.T.: Military Psych or Vets	Department:	PSY
Responsible Faculty:	Franco Santos	Responses / Expected:	18 / 21 (85.71%)

		PSY_167 - 1														
Student Information			R	espon	ses			Course								
		s	J	S	U	G	N	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q1 Your class level:	0	1	7	10	0	0	0	18	3.5	4	4	.60				

		PSY_167 - 1														
Student Information		ı	Resp	ons	es			Course								
		GS	Е	М	o	С	N	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev				
Q2 Requirement this course fulfills:	3	0	11	3	0	0	0	17	2.8	3	3	.92				
Responses: [M] Major=1 [GS] General Studies=2 [E] Elective=3 [M] Minor=4 [O] Other=5 [C] Combination=6																

Responses: [M] Major=1 [GS] General Studies=2 [E] Elective=3 [M] Minor=4 [O] Other=5 [C] Combination=6 [N] None=7

	PSY_167 - 1														
Student Information			R	esp	ons	es			Course						
	A B C D E F C NC		N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev								
Q3 Expected grade in this course:	11	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	18	1.5	1	1	.69		
Responses: [A] A=1 [B] B=2 [C] C=3 [D] D=4 [E] E=5 [F] F=6 [C] Credit=7 [NC] No Credit=8															

		PSY_167 - 1														
Cou	urse Evaluation	Re		esp	100	ารต	es		Course							
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev			
Q4	The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus.	11	3	3	0	0	0	1	18	6.2	7	7	1.46			
Q 5	The course was intellectually challenging.	11	5	1	1	0	0	0	18	6.4	7	7	.83			
Q6	The assignments, tests or other methods of evaluation were relevant to the course objectives.	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	18	6.8	7	7	.53			
Q 7	Given the course objectives, the texts and course materials were appropriate and well chosen.	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	6.9	7	7	.32			
Q8	The course contributed to my learning experience at MSMC.	15	2	1	0	0	0	0	18	6.8	7	7	.53			

FOR ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY	PS	Y_167 - 1
	Responses	Course

	NO	YBI	YBI	MST	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q9 Do you plan to take another online/hybrid course?	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	1	1	0

Responses: [NO] No=1 [YBI] Yes, because I have to for my program=2 [YBI] Yes, because I like them=2 [MST] Maybe, still thinking=1

FOR ONLINE/HYBRID COURSES ONLY		PSY_167 - 1										
		R	espo	nses		Course						
		1-2	3-4	5-6	70M	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q10 How many other online/hybrid classes have you taken?	0	1	0	0	0	1	2.0	2	2	0		
Responses: [0] 0=1 [1-2] 1-2=2 [3-4] 3-4=3 [5-6] 5-6=4 [70M] 7 or more=5												

			Santos, Franco												
Instructor Evaluation		Responses							Individual						
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev		
Q11	The instructor was well prepared for class.	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	18	6.9	7	7	.31		
Q12	The instructor effectively communicated course objectives.	14	2	2	0	0	0	0	18	6.7	7	7	.67		
Q13	The instructor generated interest in the subject matter.	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	18	6.9	7	7	.31		
Q14	The instructor used a variety of instructional activities.	13	3	1	1	0	0	0	18	6.6	7	7	.83		
Q15	The instructor was open to questions and encouraged active participation.	15	2	0	0	0	0	1	18	6.6	7	7	1.38		
Q16	The instructor encouraged independent, critical thinking.	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	18	6.8	7	7	.71		
Q17	The instructor was available for help with the course.	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	18	6.9	7	7	.31		
Q18	The instructor demonstrated knowledge of the subject matter.	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	6.9	7	7	.23		
Q19	The instructor expected and encouraged academic honesty.	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	7.0	7	7	0		
Q20	The instructor established an environment of fairness in the classroom.	14	2	2	0	0	0	0	18	6.7	7	7	.67		

Question:	Question: What are the opportunities and challenges you face in an online/hybrid environment?					
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question.	(0 of 18)				

Faculty:	Santos, Franco
Question:	Please comment on the overall quality of this course and teaching effectiveness of the instructor.
Response Rate:	88.89% (16 of 18)

- Professor Santos made the class very fun and interesting. It was one of my favorite classes this semester. I learned a lot this semester... I know I did because when I occasionally get drunk (on wine....classy shit, yo), I rant about the things I've learned in this class. In all seriousness, I learned a lot and I would love to take another class with Professor Santos.
- This class was interesting and I am glad I got to be a part of it. The only thing I would change was that it felt unorganized sometimes with the way assignments were assigned and graded. But this is expected for a first time course! I had fun

3	This course definitely added to my experience at MSMU. It was very interesting to learn more about the military and the different ways in which we could add them in the social work/psychology field. Professor Santos is very passionate about this subject since he was in the Army and it was overall a great course.
4	loved this course. Great way to learn about military psych and Professor Santos made it interesting to learn about, especially with his own examples according to his military experience.
5	for a new class, i felt the class was well put-together and effective in teaching students military psychology. Professor Santos made the course interesting as well as academically challenging. His powerpoints were always informative towards the subjects and were straightforward.
6	The course was good and informative.
7	This was a great class that has really opened my eyes in better understanding the military, and what my role would be in helping them as a health care professional.
8	This was a great course on military psychology, which should be continued for the following years. I learned immensely such as the structure and culture of the military. I feel prepared to work with a veteran due to the knowledge I have acquired. The scenario activity sheet was a great way to apply our knowledge into real world examples. The professor was well spoken and demonstrated great enthusiasm in each class lesson. The PowerPoint was a great teaching strategy. The group presentations were able to present issues of today in the military that have motivated me to be an advocate in this field. Overall, this was a great class on military psychology.
	This course was very effective.
9	I was able to become more knowledgeable about the military and the trauma so soldiers go through.
	This course should be taught at least once every year, if possible.
10	Overall, this course was very informative and challenging. Santos is a great professor and always seems to want the best for his students.
11	This course was interesting and the material was great. It was very informative.
	going to miss one of my favorite professors
12	though i dislike his quizzes sooooo much.
13	I enjoyed taking this class. I learned a lot about a subject in which is very hidden in the social media. Nobody really talks about what happens after the war and how soldiers deal with it. I believe that there should be more classes on these subjects in which we as students could learn more about since we may potentially be helping these patients/clients in the near future.
14	This course was very informative and gave me a greater understanding of our nation's military. He is very enthusiastic and well prepared while also showing professional competence on the topic. It truly opened my eyes to the basic ideas of the military and and the uncommon topics not usually talked about. He related the use of psychology by using memorable examples of experiences in the military. His exams were challenging and his papers were thought provoking.
15	I really loved this course! It contributed to my overall learning experience at the Mount. I hope it is offered to students in the future.
16	The class was challenging. The subject was interesting but as a new course, some of the information in the syllabus was changed and unclear for some part of the semester in regard to grades. However, the professor was open to questions and feedback.

Faculty:	Santos, Franco
Question:	Please give suggestions, if any, for improving this course or the teaching effectiveness of this instructor.
Response Rate:	83.33% (15 of 18)

The syllabus was so hard to follow and we didn't get any real instructions on what was expected in terms of papers and presentations. A little more structure regarding assignments would be nice.

2	I would just make the syllabus more clear and set clear guidelines and rubrics for papers
3	Field trip to VA!
4	learning more about the issues that are involved in the military that not many people are aware of
5	No suggestions necessary for him.
6	include activities other than pure lecture. Also not cutting class short so often. The professor always says how much he values our time because we pay so much for it, yet he ends class 20 minutes early
7	It was great!
8	No suggestions.
	Overall, this has been one of the best course I
9	Have take at the Mount. The instructor is passionate about the subject
	which makes the class very interesting.
10	Maybe having a course book? But having only powerpoint slides were suffice.
11	Not having a textbook was rough because the power points did not provide in depth information. They were great through lecture, but were rough for quizzes. However, this was out of the professor's hands because this course was so unique that it was difficult to find a textbook.
12	I think the fact that there was no specific book or guideline to follow through, through me of balance a little but the material was still very informative which was great.
13	I do wish that American Sniper was shown during class because it was hard for me to find time to go to the theater and watch the movie. It was referenced throughout the course and I do believe that it would have helped me during the semester. The movie seemed to have valuable insight and easily connected to the lectures.
14	N/a.
15	However, the because the course was new, there was no single source and occasionally found myself confused on some information. The tests were difficult, there was a lot of information to absorb and it would be a little easier to take another test rather than just a midterm and final.